arXiv:1511.00246v2 [math.NT] 9 Feb 2016

J. Number Theory **163** (2016), 474–481.
doi: 10.1016/j.jnt.2015.12.020

New congruences for 2-color partitions

Shane Chern

Abstract. Let $p_k(n)$ denote the number of 2-color partitions of n where one of the colors appears only in parts that are multiples of k. We will prove a conjecture of Ahmed, Baruah, and Dastidar on congruences modulo 5 for $p_k(n)$. Moreover, we will present some new congruences modulo 7 for $p_4(n)$.

Keywords. 2-Color partition, congruence, modular form.

2010MSC. Primary 11P83; Secondary 05A17.

1. Introduction

A partition of a natural number n is a nonincreasing sequence of positive integers whose sum equals n. For example, $\lambda = \{3, 2, 1\}$ is a partition of 6 since $6 = 3 + 2 + 1$. Denote by $p(n)$ the number of partitions of n. It is well known that the generating function of $p(n)$ is given by

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} p(n)q^n = \frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty}, \quad |q| < 1,$$

where, as usual, we denote

$$(a;q)_\infty = \prod_{n\geq 0}(1-aq^n).$$

Let $p_k(n)$ be the number of 2-color partitions of n where one of the colors appears only in parts that are multiples of k. According to [1], its generating function is

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} p_k(n)q^n = \frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty(q^k;q^k)_\infty}, \quad |q| < 1. \tag{1.1}$$

Furthermore, we can write $p_0(n) := p(n)$.

Many authors have studied the arithmetic properties of $p_k(n)$. For example, for $k = 1$, Baruah and Sarmah [2, Eq. (5.4)] showed that

$$p_1(25n + 23) \equiv 0 \pmod 5.$$

For $k = 2$, Chan [3] obtained the following congruence modulo 3

$$p_2(3n + 2) \equiv 0 \pmod 3,$$

while Chen and Lin [4] proved

$$p_2(25n + 22) \equiv 0 \pmod 5$$

and

$$p_2(49n+t) \equiv 0 \pmod 7$$

where $t = 15$, 29, 36, and 43 by using the tool of modular forms. More recently, Ahmed, Baruah, and Dastidar [1] found several new congruences modulo 5:

$$p_k(25n+24-k) \equiv 0 \pmod 5$$

where $k = 0$, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 15, and 20. Meanwhile, they conjectured that the congruence also holds for $k = 7$, 8, and 17.

In this paper, we shall give an affirmative answer to their conjecture, namely,

Theorem 1.1. *For any nonnegative integer n,*

$$p_k(25n+24-k) \equiv 0 \pmod 5 \tag{1.2}$$

where $k = 7$, 8, and 17.

Moreover, we shall prove

Theorem 1.2. *For any nonnegative integer n,*

$$p_4(49n+t) \equiv 0 \pmod 7 \tag{1.3}$$

where $t = 11$, 25, 32, and 39.

Our method is based on a result of Radu and Sellers [6] relating to modular forms, which can be tracked back to [5].

2. Preliminaries

Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) =: \Gamma$. For a positive integer N, we define the congruence subgroup of level N as

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod N \right\}$$

where "$*$" means "unspecified." It is known that

$$[\Gamma : \Gamma_0(N)] = N \prod_{p \mid N} (1 + p^{-1}).$$

Furthermore, we write

$$\Gamma_\infty = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \;\middle|\; h \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Let m, M, N be positive integers. We write $R(M)$ the set of integer sequences indexed by the positive divisors δ of M. For any $r \in R(M)$, it has the form $r = (r_{\delta_1}, \dots, r_{\delta_k})$ where $1 = \delta_1 < \cdots < \delta_k = M$ are positive divisors of M. Let $[s]_m$ be the set of all elements congruent to s modulo m. Let $\mathbb{Z}_m^*$ denote the set of all invertible elements in $\mathbb{Z}_m$, and $\mathbb{S}_m$ denote the set of all squares in $\mathbb{Z}_m^*$. Define by $\overline{\odot}_r$ the map $\mathbb{S}_{24m} \times \{0, \dots, m-1\} \to \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ with

$$([s]_{24m}, t) \mapsto [s]_{24m} \overline{\odot}_r t \equiv ts + \frac{s-1}{24} \sum_{\delta \mid M} \delta r_\delta \pmod m$$

where $t \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$. Now write $P_{m,r}(t) = \{[s]_{24m} \overline{\odot}_r t \mid [s]_{24m} \in \mathbb{S}_{24m}\}$. Let Δ^* be the set of tuples $(m, M, N, t, r = (r_\delta))$ which satisfy conditions given in [6, p. 2255][1]. Finally, for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, $r \in R(M)$, and $r' \in R(N)$ we denote

$$p_{m,r}(\gamma) = \min_{\lambda \in \{0,\dots,m-1\}} \frac{1}{24} \sum_{\delta | M} r_\delta \frac{\gcd^2(\delta(a + \kappa\lambda c), mc)}{\delta m}$$

and

$$p^*_{r'}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{24} \sum_{\delta | N} \frac{r'_\delta \gcd^2(\delta, c)}{\delta}$$

where $\kappa = \kappa(m) = \gcd(m^2 - 1, 24)$.

Let

$$f_r(q) := \prod_{\delta | M} (q^\delta; q^\delta)_\infty^{r_\delta} = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_r(n) q^n$$

for some $r \in R(M)$. The following lemma (see [5, Lemma 4.5] or [6, Lemma 2.4]) is a key to our proof.

Lemma 2.1. *Let u be a positive integer, $(m, M, N, t, r = (r_\delta)) \in \Delta^*$, $r' = (r'_\delta) \in R(N)$, n be the number of double cosets in $\Gamma_0(N)\backslash\Gamma/\Gamma_\infty$ and $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\} \subset \Gamma$ be a complete set of representatives of the double coset $\Gamma_0(N)\backslash\Gamma/\Gamma_\infty$. Assume that $p_{m,r}(\gamma_i) + p^*_{r'}(\gamma_i) \geq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $t_{\min} := \min_{t' \in P_{m,r}(t)} t'$ and*

$$v := \frac{1}{24} \left(\left(\sum_{\delta | M} r_\delta + \sum_{\delta | N} r'_\delta \right) [\Gamma : \Gamma_0(N)] - \sum_{\delta | N} \delta r'_\delta \right) - \frac{1}{24m} \sum_{\delta | M} \delta r_\delta - \frac{t_{\min}}{m}.$$

Then if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor v \rfloor} c_r(mn + t') q^n \equiv 0 \pmod{u}$$

for all $t' \in P_{m,r}(t)$, then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} c_r(mn + t') q^n \equiv 0 \pmod{u}$$

for all $t' \in P_{m,r}(t)$.

It also readily follows by the binomial theorem that

Lemma 2.2 (cf. [6, Lemma 1.2])**.** *Let p be a prime and α a positive integer. Then*

$$\frac{(q;q)_\infty^{p^\alpha}}{(q^p;q^p)_\infty^{p^{\alpha-1}}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^\alpha}.$$

[1] According to a private communication between the author and S. Radu, the last condition of Δ^* should read: "for $(s, j) = \pi(M, (r_\delta))$ we have ($(4 \mid \kappa N$ and $8 \mid Ns)$ or $(2 \mid s$ and $8 \mid N(1-j))$) if $2 \mid m$." In our cases, since 2 does not divide $m = 25$ or 49, none of the two conditions need to be satisfied.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1. **The case $k = 7$.** Taking $k = 7$ in (1.1), we have

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} p_7(n)q^n = \frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty (q^7;q^7)_\infty}. \tag{3.1}$$

It follows by Lemma 2.2 that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} p_7(n)q^n \equiv \frac{(q;q)_\infty^4}{(q^5;q^5)_\infty (q^7;q^7)_\infty} =: \sum_{n\geq 0} g_{7,5}(n)q^n \pmod 5. \tag{3.2}$$

We first set

$$(m, M, N, t, r = (r_1, r_5, r_7, r_{35})) = (25, 35, 35, 17, (4, -1, -1, 0)) \in \Delta^*.$$

By the definition of $P_{m,r}(t)$, we obtain

$$P_{m,r}(t) = \left\{ t' \mid t' \equiv ts - (s-1)/3 \pmod m, 0 \leq t' \leq m-1, [s]_{24m} \in \mathbb{S}_{24m} \right\}.$$

One readily verifies $P_{m,r}(t) = \{17\}$. Now setting

$$r' = (r'_1, r'_5, r'_7, r'_{35}) = (3, 0, 11, 0).$$

Let $\gamma_\delta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. By [6, Lemma 2.6], $\{\gamma_\delta : \delta \mid N\}$ contains a complete set of representatives of the double coset $\Gamma_0(N)\backslash\Gamma/\Gamma_\infty$. It is easy to verify that all these constants satisfy the assumption of Lemma 2.1. We thus obtain the upper bound $\lfloor v \rfloor = 28$. Through *Mathematica*, we verify that $g_{7,5}(25n + 17) \equiv 0 \pmod 5$ holds for the first 29 terms. It therefore follows by Lemma 2.1 that

$$g_{7,5}(25n + 17) \equiv 0 \pmod 5$$

holds for all $n \geq 0$. Now by (3.2) we have

$$p_7(25n + 17) \equiv 0 \pmod 5$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

3.2. **The case $k = 8$.** Taking $k = 8$ in (1.1), we have

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} p_8(n)q^n = \frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty (q^8;q^8)_\infty}. \tag{3.3}$$

By Lemma 2.2, one obtains

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} p_8(n)q^n \equiv \frac{(q;q)_\infty^4}{(q^5;q^5)_\infty (q^8;q^8)_\infty} =: \sum_{n\geq 0} g_{8,5}(n)q^n \pmod 5. \tag{3.4}$$

In this case, we may set

$$\begin{aligned}(m, M, N, t, r =& (r_1, r_2, r_4, r_5, r_8, r_{10}, r_{20}, r_{40})) \\ &= (25, 40, 40, 16, (4, 0, 0, -1, -1, 0, 0, 0)) \in \Delta^*\end{aligned}$$

and

$$r' = (r'_1, r'_2, r'_4, r'_5, r'_8, r'_{10}, r'_{20}, r'_{40}) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 14, 0, 0, 0).$$

We also obtain $P_{m,r}(t) = \{16\}$. One readily computes that v is bounded by $\lfloor v \rfloor = 42$. With the help of *Mathematica*, we see that $g_{8,5}(25n + 16) \equiv 0 \pmod 5$ holds up to the bound $\lfloor v \rfloor$. We conclude by Lemma 2.1 and (3.4) that

$$p_8(25n + 18) \equiv 0 \pmod 5$$

holds for all $n \geq 0$.

3.3. **The case $k = 17$.** Taking $k = 17$ in (1.1), we have

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} p_{17}(n)q^n = \frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty (q^{17};q^{17})_\infty}. \tag{3.5}$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.2, one gets

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} p_{17}(n)q^n \equiv \frac{(q;q)_\infty^4}{(q^5;q^5)_\infty (q^{17};q^{17})_\infty} =: \sum_{n\geq 0} g_{17,5}(n)q^n \pmod 5. \tag{3.6}$$

Here we set

$$(m, M, N, t, r = (r_1, r_5, r_{17}, r_{85})) = (25, 85, 85, 7, (4, -1, -1, 0)) \in \Delta^*$$

and

$$r' = (r'_1, r'_5, r'_{17}, r'_{85}) = (0, 0, 20, 0).$$

In this case $P_{m,r}(t) = \{7\}$. It is easy to get the upper bound $\lfloor v \rfloor = 84$. Now we verify that $g_{17,5}(25n + 7) \equiv 0 \pmod 5$ holds for the first 85 terms by *Mathematica*. It follows by Lemma 2.1 and (3.6) that for all $n \geq 0$

$$p_{17}(25n + 7) \equiv 0 \pmod 5.$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Taking $k = 4$ in (1.1), we have

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} p_4(n)q^n = \frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty (q^4;q^4)_\infty}. \tag{4.1}$$

It follows by Lemma 2.2 that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} p_4(n)q^n \equiv \frac{(q;q)_\infty^6}{(q^4;q^4)_\infty (q^7;q^7)_\infty} =: \sum_{n\geq 0} g_{4,7}(n)q^n \pmod 7. \tag{4.2}$$

To prove Theorem 1.2, it suffices to show

$$g_{4,7}(49n + t) \equiv 0 \pmod 7 \tag{4.3}$$

for $t = 11$, 25, 32, and 39.

We first prove the cases $t = 11$, 25, and 32. Setting

$$\begin{aligned}(m, M, N, t, r =& (r_1, r_2, r_4, r_7, r_{14}, r_{28})) \\ &= (49, 28, 28, 11, (6, 0, -1, -1, 0, 0)) \in \Delta^*.\end{aligned}$$

We compute that $P_{m,r}(t) = \{11, 25, 32\}$. Now taking

$$r' = (r'_1, r'_2, r'_4, r'_7, r'_{14}, r'_{28}) = (2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0),$$

and choosing γ as in Subsection 3.1, we verify that all these constants satisfy the assumption of Lemma 2.1. We thus compute $\lfloor v \rfloor = 13$. With the help of *Mathematica*, we see that (4.3) holds up to the bound $\lfloor v \rfloor$ with $t \in \{11, 25, 32\}$, and thus it holds for all $n \geq 0$ by Lemma 2.1.

Now we will turn to the case $t = 39$. Again we set

$$\begin{aligned}(m, M, N, t, r =& (r_1, r_2, r_4, r_7, r_{14}, r_{28})) \\ &= (49, 28, 28, 39, (6, 0, -1, -1, 0, 0)) \in \Delta^*\end{aligned}$$

and

$$r' = (r'_1, r'_2, r'_4, r'_7, r'_{14}, r'_{28}) = (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0).$$

In this case we have $P_{m,r}(t) = \{39\}$. One readily computes that v is bounded by $\lfloor v \rfloor = 11$. Similarly we verify the first 12 terms of (4.3) with $t = 39$ through *Mathematica*. It follows by Lemma 2.1 that it holds for all $n \geq 0$.

This completes our proof of Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for careful reading and useful comments.

References

1. Z. Ahmed, N. D. Baruah, and M. G. Dastidar, New congruences modulo 5 for the number of 2-color partitions, *J. Number Theory* **157** (2015), 184–198.
2. N. D. Baruah and B. K. Sarmah, Identities and congruences for the general partition and Ramanujan's tau functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **44** (2013), no. 5, 643–671.
3. H. C. Chan, Ramanujan's cubic continued fraction and an analog of his "most beautiful identity", *Int. J. Number Theory* **6** (2010), no. 3, 673–680.
4. W. Y. C. Chen and B. L. S. Lin, Congruences for the number of cubic partitions derived from modular forms, *Preprint*, arXiv:0910.1263, 15 pp.
5. S. Radu, An algorithmic approach to Ramanujan's congruences, *Ramanujan J.* **20** (2009), no. 2, 215–251.
6. S. Radu and J. A. Sellers, Congruence properties modulo 5 and 7 for the pod function, *Int. J. Number Theory* **7** (2011), no. 8, 2249–2259.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, China
E-mail address: shanechern@zju.edu.cn; chenxiaohang92@gmail.com